UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	U.S. DISTRICT COURTS ON N → MAR (19, 2010)
VEERAL LAL,	TIME A.M.
Plaintiff,	REPORT & RECOMMENDATION 09 CV 4504 (RRM)(LB)
-against-	
MOVING FORWARD RELOCATION LLC,	
Defendant.	
	X

Plaintiff filed the instant pro se action on October 19, 2009 against Moving Forward Relocation LLC. The Court's records do not reflect that plaintiff has filed proof that defendant has been served with the summons and complaint. Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides:

BLOOM, United States Magistrate Judge:

If a defendant is not served within 120 days after the complaint is filed, the court--on motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff--must dismiss the action without prejudice against that defendant or order that service be made within a specified time. But if the plaintiff shows good cause for the failure, the court must extend the time for service for an appropriate period.

By Order dated November 9, 2009, I directed plaintiff to serve defendant with the summons and complaint by February 16, 2010. Document 3. The Court's Order explicitly stated "if service is not made upon defendant by February 16, 2010 or plaintiff fails to show good cause why such service has not been effected, it will be recommended that the Court dismiss this action without prejudice." <u>Id.</u> Plaintiff has failed to file proof of service or show good cause why service has not been made on defendant. Accordingly, it is respectfully



recommended that plaintiff's complaint should be dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Rule

4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

FILING OF OBJECTIONS TO THIS REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Rule 72(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil

Procedure, the parties shall have fourteen (14) days from service of this Report to file written

objections. Such objections (and any responses to objections) shall be filed with the Clerk of the

Court. Any request for an extension of time to file objections must be made within the fourteen-

day period. Failure to file a timely objection to this Report generally waives any further judicial

review. Marcella v. Capital Dist. Physician's Health Plan, Inc., 293 F.3d 42 (2d Cir. 2002);

Small v. Sec'y of Health and Human Services, 892 F.2d 15 (2d Cir. 1989); see Thomas v. Arn,

474 U.S. 140 (1985).

LOIS BLOOM
United States Magistrate Judge

Dated: March 8, 2010

Brooklyn, New York

2